

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<b>Family Name</b>	Rowland
<b>Given Name</b>	Maria
<b>Person ID</b>	1287217
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Rowland
<b>Given Name</b>	Maria
<b>Person ID</b>	1287217
<b>Title</b>	JPA 14: Broadbent Moss
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	No
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	NA
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	These pFE proposals for Broadbent Moss are unsound as they do not meet do not take into account the wildlife and its habitat needs (NPPF,35d) and would destroy the areas character. Wildlife and woodland, ponds and wet grassland should be protected. This is stated in the Preliminary Ecological Analysis (2020, p22) they would need to be retained or compensated if lost. Broadbent Moss should not have 1450 houses built on it as (para 3.2.2) quotes the Environmental Agency stating a "medium to high probability" of flooding surrounding the River Beal and building I a valley is unsound.